

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/872,485		POOR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Meless N Zewdu		2683	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Meless N Zewdu.

(2) Courtney, Barbara (Reg. No. 42,442).

**Date of Interview:** 22 October 2004

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

7 and 16

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 7 had ben made to depend on itself and claim 16 had been made to depend on cancelled claim 15. Upon examiner's discussion with applicant, claims 7 and 16 now has been made to depend respectivel on claims 1 and 10. Also discussed was the informality of the drawings to which applicant concurred with and agrred to submit formal drawings.

---